

Education and Children's Services Scrutiny Sub-Committee

Monday 12 July 2010

7.00 pm

Town Hall, Peckham Road, London SE5 8UB

Membership

Councillor David Hubber (Chair)
Councillor the Right Revd Emmanuel
Oyewole (Vice-Chair)
Councillor Lorraine Lauder MBE
Councillor Adele Morris
Councillor Rosie Shimell
Councillor Althea Smith
Councillor Cleo Soanes
Reverend Nicholas Elder
Colin Elliott
Jane Hole
Sharon Donno

Reserves

Councillor Patrick Diamond
Councillor Victoria Mills
Councillor Martin Seaton
Councillor Nick Stanton
Councillor Geoffrey Thornton

INFORMATION FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

Access to information

You have the right to request to inspect copies of minutes and reports on this agenda as well as the background documents used in the preparation of these reports.

Babysitting/Carers allowances

If you are a resident of the borough and have paid someone to look after your children, an elderly dependant or a dependant with disabilities so that you could attend this meeting, you may claim an allowance from the council. Please collect a claim form at the meeting.

Access

The council is committed to making its meetings accessible. Further details on building access, translation, provision of signers etc for this meeting are on the council's web site: www.southwark.gov.uk or please contact the person below.

Contact Julie Timbrell on 020 7525 0514 or email: julie.timbrell@southwark.gov.uk

Members of the committee are summoned to attend this meeting

Annie Shepperd

Chief Executive

Date: 2 July 2010



Education and Children's Services Scrutiny Sub-Committee

Monday 12 July 2010
7.00 pm
Town Hall, Peckham Road, London SE5 8UB

Order of Business

Item No.	Title	Page No.
	PART A - OPEN BUSINESS	
1.	WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION	1 - 2
2.	APOLOGIES	
3.	NOTIFICATION OF ANY ITEMS OF BUSINESS WHICH THE CHAIR DEEMS URGENT	
	In special circumstances, an item of business may be added to an agenda within five clear working days of the meeting.	
4.	DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS	
	Members to declare any personal interests and dispensation in respect of any item of business to be considered at this meeting.	
5.	MINUTES	3 - 11
	To approve as a correct record the Minutes of the open section of the meeting held on 2 March 2010	
6.	WORK PROGRAMMING AND SCOPING	12 - 14
7.	TRAINING FOR COMMITTEE MEMBERS	
	DISCUSSION OF ANY OTHER OPEN ITEMS AS NOTIFIED AT THE START OF THE MEETING.	
	PART B - CLOSED BUSINESS	

Item No.

Title

Page No.

**DISCUSSION OF ANY CLOSED ITEMS AS NOTIFIED AT THE START
OF THE MEETING AND ACCEPTED BY THE CHAIR AS URGENT.**

Date: 2 July 2010

Membership & biographys of members of the Children's Services and Education scrutiny sub - committee

BIOGRAPHYS

Councillor David Hubber - Surrey Docks ward (Liberal Democrat) Chair of Children's services and Education scrutiny sub – committee

Elected in 2002, I have been at various times chair of the Planning committee, the Licensing committee and a scrutiny sub-committee, a member of the Standards committee and Chief Whip. I was formerly a member of another London Borough council for many years and during that time was also a governor of two major secondary schools and a teacher training college. I have been one of a group of members speaking to pupils in Southwark schools about the work of a councillor. I am part of a large extended family, with ages ranging from over eighty to a few weeks, and was once a child myself!

Councillor Rosie Shimell - East Dulwich ward (Liberal Democrat)

I attended local primary schools in Southwark while growing up in the borough. I remember there being issues with the quality of secondary education in southwark and as a result I, along with many other children, had to travel to schools outside of the borough instead. Since then the reputation of secondary education in southwark has improved dramatically and remembering my own school days here I have a personal interest in ensuring it maintains this standard and carries on improving.

I specialised in the sociology of education during my degree so I have strong views on how education in the borough can be improved.

As the youngest Southwark councillor I suppose I also have a vested interest in ensuring youth services in our borough are of the highest quality!

Colin Elliott – Education Representative

In 2005 I was nominated for a four year term as Parent Governor, with special oversight as School Link Governor for numeracy, at St Saviour's and St Olave's (SSSO) Secondary Girls School, Southwark. In 2009, I was re-elected to serve a second four year term. In addition to my Parent Governor responsibilities, I am currently Link Governor for Engineering and serve on the Finance and General Purpose Committee.

In 2008, I was successfully nominated as Parent Governor Representative for Southwark Council and am currently serving a two year term in office on the Children's Services and Education (CS & Ed) Scrutiny Sub-committee and the Overview and Scrutiny Committee (OSC).

Jane Hole - Education Representative

I am one of the Education representatives on the committee; I am a governor of City of London Academy and have two boys at that school; one in Year 7 and one in Year 9. I also have a daughter at a primary school in Southwark. I have spent the last seventeen years teaching in schools in Southwark and Lambeth and retired from teaching in January 2010. I opened a gallery/home furnishings shop in Dulwich Village in April, but continue to mentor a child once a week at the Charter School. I am interested in increasing the number of hours of sports provision in primary and secondary schools and making sure that the curriculum in academies is rigorous and wide ranging. I am the literacy governor of City of London and support the school to the best of my knowledge and ability.

Sharon Donno – Head teacher representative

My name is Sharon Donno and I am the current Chair of the Head Teacher's Executive. Last November head teachers voted to change the way they were represented on local decision making bodies. From then on, the chair was to be the person to attend meetings for Southwark Alliance, Young Southwark and others. An agreement was reached with officers to induct the chair as a full member of the Children's Services Management Team and an invitation accepted to join the Education Scrutiny Committee. I am the head teacher of Kintore Way Children's Centre in Bermondsey and was able to participate in the Early Years Review undertaken by the last administration.

MEMBERSHIP

<u>MEMBERS</u>		<u>RESERVES</u>	
Councillor David Hubber (Chair)	LD	Councillor Patrick Diamond	L
Councillor The Right Revd Emmanuel Oyewole (Vice-Chair)	L	Councillor Vikki Mills	L
Councillor Lorraine Lauder	L	Councillor Nick Stanton	LD
Councillor Adele Morris	LD	Councillor Geoffrey Thornton	LD
Councillor Rosie Shimell	LD	Councillor Martin Seaton	L
Councillor Althea Smith	L		
Councillor Cleo Soanes	L		

EDUCATION REPRESENTATIVES (VOTING)

Rev Nicholas Elder (Church of England)
Mr Colin Elliott (Parent Governor)
Ms Jane Hole (Parent Governor)
(Roman Catholic Diocese representative)

HEADTEACHER REPRESENTATIVE (NON-VOTING)

Sharon Donno



CHILDREN'S SERVICES AND EDUCATION SCRUTINY SUB-COMMITTEE

MINUTES of the Children's Services and Education Scrutiny Sub-Committee held on Tuesday 2 March 2010 at 7.00 pm at Town Hall, Peckham Road, London SE5 8UB

PRESENT: Councillor Barrie Hargrove (Chairman)
Councillor Nick Vineall
Councillor Eliza Mann
Councillor Sandra Rhule
Councillor Veronica Ward

**EDUCATION
REPRESENTATIVES:** Colin Elliott
Jane Hole
Sharon Donno

**OFFICER
SUPPORT:** Romi Bowen, Strategic Director of Children's Services
Jane Bailey, Assistant Director; 11-19 Services and Youth
Kerry Crichlow, Assistant Director; Strategy & Support
Julie Timbrell, Scrutiny Manager

1. APOLOGIES

1.1 Apologies were received from Councillor Jonathan Mitchell and Reverend Nicholas Elder.

2. NOTIFICATION OF ANY ITEMS OF BUSINESS WHICH THE CHAIR DEEMS URGENT

2.1 There were none.

3. DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS AND DISPENSATIONS

3.1 Members made the following declarations: Councillor Jonathan Mitchell as a governor at Harris Girls' Academy; Councillor Sandra Rhule as parent of a pupil at Kingsdale Foundation School; Councillor Veronica Ward as the grandparent of a

child at Charles Dickens Nursery and as a governor at Dulwich Wood Children's Centre; Sharon Donno as an employee of Kintore Way Nursery School; Reverend Nicholas Elder as the chair of governors at Kinderella Pre-School; Colin Elliott as a parent governor at St Saviours and St Olave's; and Jane Hole as a governor at the City of London Academy.

4. MINUTES

RESOLVED:

That, subject to the following amendments, the minutes of the meeting held on 19 January 2010 be agreed as a correct record:

Correction of the spelling of Heber school and addition of Councillor Veronica Ward's interest in Charles Dickens Nursery.

5. EXECUTIVE QUESTIONS WITH CLLR LISA RAJAN - EXECUTIVE MEMBER FOR CHILDREN'S SERVICES

- 5.1 The chair welcomed Councillor Lisa Rajan and noted the tabled written answers to the questions submitted. Members were asked if they had any follow up questions.
- 5.2 Members indicated that that they would like to ask some supplementary questions on teenage pregnancy. Councillor Rajan first updated the sub-committee by commenting that she had just received the latest figures for teenage conceptions and that there had now been a reduction of 22 % on the 1998 base rate and Southwark now had the sixth highest rate in the country; Southwark was previously the third highest. A member asked if we had the highest rate a few years ago? Councillor Rajan responded that yes Southwark was the highest, then the third, now the sixth. A member asked what this fall was attributed to? Councillor Rajan said that the council had looked a good practice in Hackney and other Boroughs. The report tabled went into more detail.
- 5.3 There was a comment on using peer educators to reduce conceptions. A scrutiny report had identified this as a positive method for reaching young people. Councillor Rajan said that she had spoken to the youth council and they were doing this, but it was not the biggest emphasis. Various methods were used to communicate with young people; the internet, peers support, leaflets etc. People liked to be reached in different ways.
- 5.4 A few questions were then posed about the last section of question 1 on obesity. A member commented that there appeared to be lots of initiatives to reach children, but asked what was being done to reach parents and grandparents? Councillor Rajan replied that there was a national campaign; "Change for Life" and questionnaires had been sent out to parents and the information was being used. Parents were sent information on healthy eating and snacks and reward charts.
- 5.5 Another member commented that she was most concerned with secondary schools as many young people stopped off at fried chicken shops. Councillor Rajan replied

that the council was training to promote a whole council approach through licensing and planning. It was a social thing as well; stopping off for a drink after school in a cafe.

- 5.6 Councillor Rajan commented that children were given cut up apple and carrots when they left school. Children also got an opportunity to wrap up unfinished food from lunch to take home. Members commented that children seemed to need food at the end of the day and welcomed provision to meet this need as this appeared to be a trigger time.
- 5.7 Members commented that they would have liked to have seen something in the report about increasing the 2 hours spent playing sport as this was not enough. It was noted that schools had banned machines selling fizzy drinks etc to reduce the amount of calorie intake however members would like to see more sport provision. Councillor Rajan commented that Southwark Superstars was about providing more sports provision.
- 5.8 A member commented that when she was at school they did cross country running, which she hated, but in retrospect it was effective. Young people now want to look like Kate Moss, or the boys want a 6 pack, but then they go and eat junk food at fast food outlets. Councillor Rajan was asked about the programme to increase sport from 2 hours. She responded that she agreed there should be more sports in schools; however the council could have more influence by encouraging children out of school. The walking to school programme was good.
- 5.9 There was a comment about take up of school meals and concern that more affluent children were not using these which then impacted on those children who received free school meals.
- 5.10 A member suggested that cooking should be prioritised in the school curriculum and offered all the way through, from nursery age. Councillor Rajan agreed that this was a skill that needed to be taught and re learnt in school.
- 5.11 Councillor Rajan said that the council also wanted to work more with Children's Centres on weaning and in antenatal care- focusing on the under-fours so that they were not overweight by the time they start school.
- 5.12 Members then moved on to Question 2 about the restructuring of the Youth Service with members asking if councillors had been involved in devising the new area youth plans.
- 5.13 Councillor Rajan answered that she had asked the youth area managers to contact all the local members. A member confirmed that they had contributed to the discussion and been impressed with the layout.
- 5.14 Members moved onto Question 5 regarding the development of youth councils and the election of representatives onto community councils. A member asked if the youth champions would attend the community councils? Councillor Rajan responded that they might do. It depended how the meetings were constructed and how attractive these items were to young people and the champions. This was being left up to the community councils and the youth council to decide what worked best for

their local area. Cleaner, Greener, Safer was usually a popular item, however the council was allowing the youth councils to establish themselves and devise their preferred ways of working. The emphasis was on training and then from May they could steer their own path. The council did not want to dictate; rather empower.

- 5.15 Members asked Councillor Rajan if she had taken time to consider the recommendations in the sub-committee's scrutiny report on youth provision in Southwark? Councillor Rajan confirmed she had a copy and had considered it.
- 5.16 The sub-committee moved on to question 7 and commented that it would be good to meet the new independent chair of the children's safeguarding committee. Councillor Rajan welcomed this and also suggested that the sub-committee take time to consider the annual safeguarding report, due in September, and that this might be a good time for the new chair, Chris Davies, to come to scrutiny.
- 5.17 A query was raised on question 11 concerning recruitment and retention of Southwark social workers. The member asked if this was at crisis point. Councillor Rajan replied that no, not in Southwark, but a level of anxiety had been maintained and that the council aimed to reduce poaching. Southwark had recruited a number of high quality master degree students from America. Young people came here for the experience, and the Americans were particularly well trained.
- 5.18 Members raised concerns about Americans moving on. Councillor Rajan responded that that they were on permanent contract, but it was a concern. The service was also looking at expanding the provision of key worker housing to retain people in inner London.
- 5.19 There were wider issues of increasing the status and reversing the trend of baby P, because these had had the effect of social workers moving away from more sensitive areas. Southwark was looking forward to the social work task force raising the professional status through the introduction of a royal college. It was anticipated that this would increase ongoing training and the quality of degrees.
- 5.20 A member asked a supplementary query on question 13 and enquired about the number of families who had received counselling because they had been affected by the Sumner Road fire. The chair responded that he understood that thirty-three families had been affected. A large number of people had lost everything, obviously losing a life was the worst, but it had been traumatic for many families.

6. UNIVERSAL YOUTH ACTIVITIES AND INFORMATION, ADVICE AND GUIDANCE

- 6.1 Jane Bailey, assistant director; 11-19 services and youth, introduced the report on the item. She explained that the four area managers were now in post. Each area recently convened consultation events and voluntary sector representatives, extended schools coordinators, TRA representatives and young people were all invited. The next step was doing an inspection for quality and young people would be involved in the quality assurance process.
- 6.2 The service was aiming to work with the voluntary service in a more open and

transparent way. The council needed to ensure that youth workers had the right skills and were able to refer young people to appropriate services; for example drug services and for specialised training and employment advice.

- 6.3 The youth councils were up and working. Young people were organising around community council areas and also two young people from every area come together and would be engaged around the executive. The area plans would decide what provision should be offered and when, including on Fridays and Saturdays. The plans would consider the needs of particular groups including girls and exclusion projects. The services would work with extended schools.
- 6.4 A member inquired about communication? The assistant director responded that this area had been improved through the bi-monthly insert in Southwark Life, the slicker 'Whatvr' website and provision would be included in the revamped Southwark Council website. The Mix festival had been very well received by young people and all the youth councils have been involved in its development.
- 6.5 The assistant director said that the number of NEET (Not in Education, Employment or Training) young people had reduced from 420 to 230. Clarification on this was requested by a member. It was explained that this number was related to the 'learner cohort'. Concern was raised that other young people, who might be NEET, might be unknown to services. The assistant director said that there was a high degree of population churn so this was possible, however area managers worked across the service and encouraged staff to pick up on this issue and refer young people to appropriate services.

7. OVERVIEW OF PROVISION OF EDUCATION, TRAINING AND APPRENTICESHIPS FOR 14-19 YEAR OLDS

- 7.1 Jane Bailey, assistant director ;11-19 services and youth, presented the report circulated with the papers entitled: 'Overview of provision of education, training and apprenticeships for 14-19 year olds'.
- 7.2 The assistant director spoke about plans for young people at 14 onwards where school was not enough. There would be a number of opportunities to learn hair dressing, plumbing and car maintenance from September. Southwark council has also been trail blazing apprenticeships.
- 7.3 The provision of education, training and apprenticeships for 14 to 19 year olds was coordinated by the Southwark 14-19 Partnership. The partnership was made up of the local authority, all secondary schools, academies, Southwark College and work based learning providers. It was a sub group of the Children's Trust and progress was regularly reported to the full trust.
- 7.4 Southwark was commissioning small voluntary providers to work with young people on the edge of the formal offer to do softer activities so that young people got work ready. Young people got a small activity allowance for attending; this was not bigger than the EMA allowance they got for attending college.
- 7.5 Members raised concerns that every young person was followed up and that the

service was linked in with the benefit office, for example. The assistant director commented that if a young person did not tell their education provider their plans it could take time to link to the Connexions service. There were links between Connexions and job centre plus and there had been some moves to identify more young people through the benefit service and the council was working on this.

- 7.6 A member asked what leverage Southwark had to ensure a broad offer? The assistant director explained that the council had a role in the commissioning process; this was more of an encouraging and cajoling role. There were good relationships and Southwark was able to influence provision.

8. EARLY YEARS REVIEW

- 8.1 The draft Early Years review was considered by the sub-committee.
- 8.2 Members discussed recommendation 7, that highlighted the need for transition funding for children using children's centres nurseries. The sub-committee decided that this should be made more concrete and the following wording was decided : 'Give urgent consideration to providing adequate transition funding for children moving from day-care to nursery school in relevant Southwark children's centres so that affected children who are not '3 enough' still receive adequate funding to sustain a place'.
- 8.3 Members decided the report should elaborate more on the problems nurseries experience in receiving funding in order to provide sufficient staff support for children with special needs. Recommendation 5 was also made more specific: 'Backdate support funding for children with special needs to the start of their time in nursery, for children who later receive a more extensive statement'.

RESOLVED:

That, once the report has been amended in line with the above and agreed by the chair, it be submitted to overview and scrutiny committee for consideration. The report then be sent to the following:

- Parent Participation Forum
- Providers we visited
- MPs
- Head teachers' s executive
- Children's trust (Young Southwark)
- Executive members
- Chairs of Governors – via David Lister.

9. PARENTAL ENGAGEMENT REVIEW

- 9.1 The sub-committee considered the draft Parental Engagement report.
- 9.2 It was agreed that recommendation 2, 'Provide universal services', be deleted.

- 9.3 Colin Elliot's report on the 'Promoting good parenting' event for Governors' would be included as an appendix.
- 9.4 The sub-committee noted that both the Children's and Young People's Plans and the Parental Engagement report emphasise family support and resolved that this report should be submitted as part of the consultation on this plan.

RESOLVED:

That, once it has been amended as agreed above, the report be submitted to overview and scrutiny committee for consideration.

10. CHILDREN'S AND YOUNG PEOPLE'S PLAN

- 10.1 Kerry Crichlow, assistant director, strategy & support, introduced the Children and Young People's Plan (CYPP) for 2010 to 2013. It was proposed that this plan would be the Council's key policy framework document for children and young people. She asked the sub-committee to note that this proposed CYPP would be subject to final partner and public consultation, as set out in paragraphs 28 and 29 of the document circulated, with publication by April 2010. This was an opportunity for the sub-committee to raise any comments at the meeting to feed back as part of the consultation process. She explained that the CYPP 2010-2013 would be subject to new statutory guidance, expected later this year, which might require revisions to these proposals. The CYPP would become the new commissioning plan for the 'Children's Trust.
- 10.2 There was a complex relationship between the Children's Trust and the Safeguarding Board. The CYPP would need to respond to the annual safeguarding report.
- 10.3 Over the summer the council had asked people to tell their stories and received over 100 of these and a selection would be published on the web.
- 10.4 A member noted the significant partnerships with Education and Health, but said she did not see housing in there, and this was very important for families as many lived in very poor housing. The assistant director responded that this was absolutely right; some of these went beyond the influence of Children's Services. The council had done some good work with extended services and housing departments. She commented that she would not stop there as community safety was also very important, both keeping children safe and safe from the fear of crime.
- 10.5 A member commented on the importance of the early years and reaching vulnerable families. She spoke of concerns that many families were not accessing early years provision and gave as an example families in Camberwell. The assistant director said that this was a plan of plans, and there would be other more specific plans that looked in detail at issues such as childcare. The needs analysis showed issues the council needed to focus in on.
- 10.6 The assistant director stated that the plan would enable the council to think about decommissioning as it was likely there would fewer resources. The council would

need to consider what it was duplicating and concentrate on priorities. Having school ready children was important as was having schools ready to receive children. A member commented that it was important that the council had work ready young people at the opposite end of the age range and noted that the plan went up to age 19.

- 10.7 There was a comment from the sub-committee that the plan was at quite a high level, was it possible to compare with other local authorities to see if they were quite as high level. He enquired if anything was excluded? The assistant director explained that it was possible to trace the high level content back to actual stories. Families said that they wanted to simplify the system. The plan was edited and honed.
- 10.8 The strategic director of children's services, Romi Bowen, said that it was anticipated that there would be less money and so there was more emphasis on targeted interventions, particularly at Early Years. For example Sure Start was currently used by people with a lot of social capital. Some of that provision would need to be more targeted. Some of the lower level plans would be more activity based. The strategic director explained that the council also needed to energise other sectors; police, housing etc.
- 10.9 Members voiced concerns about the housing bidding system being complex, particularly for older people who might be less comfortable with the internet. Concerns were also raised about illegal sub letting and this was noted.
- 10.10 The chair proposed a number of measures to support the implementation of the CYPP by this sub-committee. If agreed these would go ahead as recommendations for the new children's services and education scrutiny sub-committee if it is reconvened after the elections.
- 10.11 The strategic director commented on the number of concerns raised about children's welfare that related to domestic violence, and this could escalate from arguments to children being in danger in the midst of conflict. She particularly welcomed the sub-committee's decision to recommend work on this area.

RESOLVED:

1. That the sub-committee support the principles in the plan, particularly:
 - i) Priority given to simplify the system
 - ii) Emphasis on families
 - iii) Targeting families most at risk
2. That the new children's services and education scrutiny sub-committee:

Ensure the annual interview of the executive member includes their practical achievements against the plan.

Undertake quarterly performance monitoring developed from paragraph 17 of the report.

Provide stronger cross cutting challenge of different council departments and council partner agencies contribution to the plan including:

- Housing departments contribution to addressing child poverty
- Multi agency work to combat domestic violence

11. MATTERS ARISING

11.1 Councillor Nick Stanton's response to Councillor Nick Vineall's query on snow was tabled, noted and discussed.

11.2 Councillor Vineall noted the difference between the cost of gritting the road for the day (£1000) and the notional cost of closing a school for the day (£350,000).

RESOLVED:

That Councillor Nick Vineall draw the executive's attention to the disparity between these figures. He would write a couple of paragraphs and a letter would be sent to the executive drawing their attention to this issue.

12. WORK PROGRAMME

12.1 The sub-committee agreed the work programme. Both the Early Years review and the Parental Engagement review would be finalised based on the recommendations agreed at tonight's meeting. would will both be submitted to the 8 March overview and scrutiny committee meeting.

The meeting ended at 10.25pm.



Scrutiny review proposal

- 1 **What is the review?**
- 2 **What outcomes could realistically be achieved? Which agency does the review seek to influence?**
- 3 **When should the review be carried out/completed?** i.e. does the review need to take place before/after a certain time?
- 4 **What format would suit this review?** (e.g. full investigation, Q&A with cabinet member/partners, public meeting, one-off session)
- 5 **What are some of the key issues that you would like the review to look at?**
- 6 **Who would you like to receive evidence and advice from during the review?**
- 7 **Any suggestions for background information? Are you aware of any best practice on this topic?**
- 8 **What approaches could be useful for gathering evidence? What can be done outside committee meetings?**
e.g. verbal or written submissions, site visits, mystery-shopping, service observation, meeting with stakeholders, survey, consultation event

SUGGESTED TOPICS FOR SCRUTINY 2010-11

Committee	Topic
Overview & Scrutiny	
	Use of consultants and temporary staff – VFM of consultants
	Review of local and general election process
	Performance of Customer Service Centre
	Joint service delivery with Lambeth
	Customer care
Regeneration & Leisure	
	Fairness of distribution of resources incl S106
	Progress of Canada Water scheme/overspend on Canada Water library
	Planning policy on tall buildings
	Car parking policies – impact on local businesses
	Management and delivery of small and large regeneration projects
	Housing investment
	Empty shop units
	Sports development for disabled & older people & other disadvantaged groups
	Access to employment
Housing & Community Safety	
	Anti Social Behaviour
	Noise
	Who controls shared spaces on estates – green areas, tenants halls
	Use and cost of CCTV
	Leaseholder service charges
	Student accommodation
	Community safety
	Repairs
	Housing transfers and overcrowding
	Estate parking
Health and Adult Social Care	

	Substance misuse services
	Older people's services
	Tackling isolation for older people and vulnerable adults
	Health inequalities strategy
	NHS Southwark services
Environment, Transport, Communities & Citizenship	
	Community cohesion for marginalised groups
	How the council involves local people in service delivery and planning and choosing service development
	Equality of opportunity for local people in accessing council programmes
	The edges of the borough – how do neighbouring councils work together to ensure that boundary areas receive high quality services? Fly tipping, street cleaning, repairs, traffic scheme
	TfL - Quality of bus services across the borough, integration of local transport systems
	Voluntary sector funding
	Allotments
	Borough high Street
	Recycling targets
	Roadworks
	Lack of community facilities
Education and Children's Services	
	Childhood obesity
	Kingsdale School admissions policy (NB this is a foundation school - unlikely that the council has any influence – Shelley Burke)
	Primary school places and administration of admissions process
	Teenage pregnancy
	Youth provision and engagement - how will devolved commissioning work?
	Academies
	Parenting support
	Services and support for disabled children
	Increase in dangerous dog attacks – are children being adequately protected?
	Sports provision for secondary and primary children

**Children's Services and Education Scrutiny Sub-Committee 2010/2011
Distribution List**

	Copies		Copies
<u>Members and Reserves</u>			
Councillor David Hubber (Chair)	1		
Councillor The Right Revd Emmanuel Oyewole (Vice-Chair)	1		
Councillor Lorraine Lauder	1		
Councillor Adele Morris	1		
Councillor Rosie Shimell	1		
Councillor Althea Smith	1		
Councillor Cleo Soanes	1		
Councillor Patrick Diamond (Reserve)	1		
Councillor Nick Stanton (Reserve)	1		
Councillor Geoffrey Thornton (Reserve)	1		
<u>Education Representatives</u>			
Revd Nicholas Elder	1		
Jane Hole	1		
Colin McKenzie Elliott	1		
<u>Head teacher Representative</u>			
Sharon Donno	1		
<u>Other Members</u>			
Councillor Catherine McDonald	1		
Councillor Lisa Rajan	1		
Libraries [Albion / Dulwich / Newington / Local Studies]	6		
<u>Council Officers</u>			
Scrutiny Team [spares]	6		
Shelley Burke, Head of Overview & Scrutiny	1		
Romi Bowen, Director of Children's Services	1		
Terry Parkin, Deputy Director of Children's Services	1		
Rory Patterson, Assistant Director of Specialist Children's Services and Safeguarding	1		
Mike Smith, Assistant Director of Community Services	1		
Elaine Allegretti, Children's Trust Development Manager, Children's Services	1		
Pauline Armour, Assistant Director of Access & Inclusion	1		
Eleanor Parkin, Departmental Co-ordinator, Children's Services	1		
Jane Baily, Head of Services for Young People	1		
Sarah Feasey, Principal Lawyer, Strategic Services	1		
		TOTAL DISTRIBUTION	40